

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/759,530		01/16/2001	Mireille Maubru	05725.0828-00	2122
22852	7590	04/09/2003			- 9-
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER				EXAMINER -	
LLP 1300 I STRE			WANG, SHENGJUN		
WASHINGT	WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1617	15
				DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003	1)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/759,530	MAUBRU ET AL.				
. Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shengjun Wang	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be a within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) divide a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON.	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 January 2003</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under language of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) 1-10,12-18,20-34 and 37-44 is/are pe	4) Claim(s) 1-10,12-18,20-34 and 37-44 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 13,15,23-29 and 37-44 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-10,12,14,16-18,20-22, 30-34</u> is/are	rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		roved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
	arriirier.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/759,530

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

The Request for a Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 filed on January 9, 2003 based on parent Application No. 09/759530 is acceptable and a RCE has been established.

An action on the RCE follows.

Applicants' election in the parent application (paper No. 7) is presumed to carry over to the instant RCE since applicants have not indicated a contrary intention. The claims have been examined insofar as they read on the elected invention and species.

Receipt of applicants' amendments and remarks submitted January 9, 2003 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10, 12, 14, 16-18, 20-22 and 30-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sweger et al. (US 5,482,704, of record) and Babenko (US 6,277,893) in view of Saint-Leger (US 5,919,438, of record), and Murray (US 5,720,964, of record).
- 3. Sweger et al. teach the usefulness of the amphoteric starch herein employed in cosmetic composition. The starch derivatives may be used as thickener or emulsion stabilizer, they provide cosmetic composition with excellent aesthetic properties of skin feel and appearance.

 See, particularly, col. 1, line 20 to col. 2, line 33. The starch derivatives may be employed in

Application/Control Number: 09/759,530

Art Unit: 1617

various cosmetic compositions, including skin care creams and lotion, the cosmetic composition may comprise various conventional cosmetic ingredients. The amounts of the starch derivatives employed are depending on the type of cosmetic compositions, but generally in the range of 0.1% to 20%. See, particularly, col. 4, line 39 to col. 6, line 40. The starch derivatives are superior to Carbopol (a conventional thickener and emulsion stabilizer) in respect of thickening and emulsion stabilizing properties. See, particularly, columns 8-9. Babenko teaches particularly a stable oil-in-water emulsion for use in cosmetic composition comprising the starch derivatives herein as emulsifier. The emulsion is particularly useful in compositions such as creams, lotions, antiperspirants, make-up products, sunscreens, shampoos and body cleansing products. See, particularly, the abstract, column 5, lines 20-40. Dimethicone, a polydimethylsiloxane is particularly useful in making the emulsion. See, particularly, col. 6, 10-32.

- 4. The primary references do not teach expressly a composition comprising each and every cosmetic ingredient herein listed, alkyl ether sulfate, the particular cationic polymer, polydimethylsiloxane, and coconut monoisopropanolamide.
- 5. However, Murray teaches a shampoo composition comprising alkyl ether sulfates, e.g., sodium laury ether sulfate, silicone emulsion, cationic polymers, such as polymer JR 400, and a thickener. See, particularly, the abstract, col. 3, line 36 to col. 6, line 45. Saint-Leger teaches that coconut monoisopropanolamide is particularly useful in shampoo composition, particularly with alkyl ether sulfate. See, particularly, the example 1, in col. 4.

Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed the invention was made, to employ the starch derivatives herein as emulsion stabilizer or thickener (as taught by Sweger et al. and Babenko) to make a cosmetic

Application/Control Number: 09/759,530

Art Unit: 1617

emulsion composition, and incorporate the conventional cosmetic ingredients herein disclosed to formulate a particular cosmetic composition, such as shampoo.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the starch derivatives herein as emulsion stabilizer or thickener (as taught by Sweger et al. and Babenko) to make a cosmetic emulsion composition, and incorporate the conventional cosmetic ingredients herein disclosed to formulate a particular cosmetic composition, such as shampoo because the starch derivatives have excellent aesthetic properties of skin feel and appearance, and are superior to conventional thickener or emulsion stabilizer. The employment of the particular ingredients herein, i.e., alkyl ether sulfate, the particular cationic polymer, polydimethylsiloxane, and coconut monoisopropanolamide, in a cosmetic composition, e.g., shampoo, is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art because all these ingredients are old and well-known cosmetic ingredients, particularly in shampoo composition.

Response to the Arguments

Applicants' amendments and remarks submitted January 9, 2003 have been fully considered, they are most moot in view of the new ground rejection.

As to the remarks about the superiority of CEPA to Carbopol, the examiner assumes that the amounts of both CEPA and Carbopol employed by Sweger are the optimal amounts of each of the ingredients, absent evidence to the contrary. The examiner considers the conclusion of a US patent is valid absent evidence to the contrary. Further, the starch derivatives are known to provide a cosmetic composition with excellent aesthetic properties of skin feel and appearance. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the starch derivative in cosmetic compositions, such as shampoo.

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-4554. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (703) 305-1877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Patent Examiner

EHENGJUN WANG

Shengjun Wang

April 1, 2003